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### The Metropolitan Strategic Plan of Barcelona (PEMB)

**BARCELONA STRATEGIC METROPOLITAN PLAN ASSOCIATION**

Non-profit association which gathers together different institutions related to the social and economic development of the RMB and which acts as a lobby and lobbyist instrument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENERAL COUNCIL (+ 300)</th>
<th>GOVERNING COUNCIL (26)</th>
<th>EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**COORDINATION OFFICE**

Manages the Association +

Think tank of metropolis Scaling/Coordination Facilitation/Incubation Communication

---

**METROPOLITAN STRATEGIC PLAN 2030**

Result of the concertation process that must establish the shared metropolitan priorities in a 10-year horizon

**STRATEGIC PROJECTS**

Concertation of involved stakeholders for collaborative work on strategic and tractor aspects. Facilitation of work processes. Project incubation.
Commitment of PEMB with Agenda 2030

"Strategic" virtues that PEMB identifies in Agenda 2030:

• It is a really global deal (196 states)
• It poses the need for a change of model of social and economic development
• It offers a new model with an absolutely comprehensive view of the development
• It outlines localization processes with multilevel involvement and social alliances
• Its communication campaign has generated many supports between sub-state governments
• It commits to develop a monitoring system by the states.

For these reasons, the PEMB works for the local and metropolitan appropriation of the Agenda 2030.
On the other hand: lack of data in the design of public policies

Eternal data problem for policies design:
• Some gaps in information, both in some socio-economic data and on policies impact
• Some partially repeated databases
• Lack of conceptual, methodological and operative standardization
• Disuse of data from records (non-standardized)
• In short, there is a lack of coordination between so many data producers (producers of statistical data, research institutes, local authorities, public service providers, etc.)

Needs on data:
• Adjusted to the need
• Variety
• Reliability (in measure and in time)

For reliable diagnostics:
• Develop evidence-based policies, with consistent and evaluable positive impacts on welfare of population.
The project

• WHAT: to identify and collect a list of indicators that could be found in different public available DDBB, that have disaggregated data at a local scale and which were equivalent to the indicators requested by Agenda 2030 to carry out the global monitoring of the SDGS.

• WHY: to facilitate the implementation and monitoring of Agenda 2030 at a local level, and at the same time work the alliances and collaborations requested by Agenda 2030, within the process itself.

• WHAT FOR: the local entities could make its own monitoring of the progression of the SDGS in a standardized and comparable way!
The project

HOW:

- A collaborative project.
- With people who had knowledge in the subjects of the SDGS and/or knowledge in production and data management.
- From different institutions (quadruple helix).
- Using work facilitation techniques:
  - An online platform (Loomio) for the individual task of proposing appropriate indicators.
  - Group meetings for the deliberation, evaluation and selection of the indicators, facilitated by the PEMB with the appropriate materials and formats.
- Working the 238 indicators of the official list, divided into 3 parts related to the 3 subgroups ("People", "Planet" and "Prosperity-Peace-Partnerships")
## The group: participating organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Other Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACUP - Associació Catalana d'Universitats Públiques</td>
<td>Creacció</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agència d'Ecologia Urbana de Barcelona</td>
<td>Diputació de Barcelona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajuntament de Barcelona</td>
<td>Espai Ambiental Cooperativa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajuntament de Barcelona - Barcelona Activa</td>
<td>IERMB – UAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajuntament de Granollers</td>
<td>IESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajuntament de Mataró</td>
<td>IN3-Universitat Oberta de Catalunya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajuntament de Terrassa</td>
<td>ISGlobal Barcelona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajuntament Santa Coloma G.</td>
<td>Lavola - Anthesis Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMB</td>
<td>Nusos, activitats científiques i culturals, SCCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associació Metropolis</td>
<td>Observatori del 3er sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIDOB</td>
<td>Observatori ODS-Empreses (ESADE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consell Assessor per al Desenvolupament Sostenible (CADS)</td>
<td>Rezero – Fund. Cat. per la Prevenció de Residus i el Consum Responsable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consell Comarcal del Baix Llobregat</td>
<td>TSR Territori Socialment Responsable SCCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consell Comarcal del Barcelonès</td>
<td>UCLG/CGLU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consell Comarcal del Vallès Occidental</td>
<td>Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consell Comarcal del Vallès Oriental</td>
<td>Universitat Pompeu Fabra</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Group: gender and territory

Group: gender parity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Homes</th>
<th>Dones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROSPERITAT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANETA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONES</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group: Organization’s territory

- BCN: 14
- RMB: 18
- PROVÍNCIA: 9
- CATALUNYA: 8
- GLOBAL: 6

Bar chart showing gender parity, and a pie chart showing organization’s territory.
The group: quadruple helix
The work: criteria to select indicators

1. Selection from the 2030 Agenda official list
   - Adequation (relevant object and theme to the territory)
   - Progression (pending evolution)
   - Related to local organizations (or adaptable)

2. Selection from the public available DDBB
   - Matched (to the 2030 Agenda corresponding indicator)
   - Reliable (from a consolidated DB – not from a one-time request)
   - Local scale data (municipal or small regions-counties)
The work: examples

Easily ADAPTED indicators:
- “national government” → “local government”

REINTERPRETED indicators to the local interests:
- “Number of states that...” → “This local government/town...” (binomial Y/N)
- National indicator related to GDP or other macroeconomic magnitudes → Local indicator “per capita”

DISCARDED indicators:
- Those that didn’t provide interesting nor competing data for local governments and territories
The (initial) work: a selection of the 2030 Agenda official indicators

(December 2018 list)

### 2030 AGENDA INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODS</th>
<th>Suitable and adapted</th>
<th>Reinterpreted</th>
<th>Discarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ODS 1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODS 17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SDG local indicators: count of proposals

398 PROPOSED INDICATORS

329 equivalent
69 extra

291 accepted
45 asked for improving
62 discarded

336 TOTAL INDICATORS

109 Pack reporting
SDG local indicators: proposals per SDG

ODS 1  ODS 2  ODS 3  ODS 4  ODS 5  ODS 6  ODS 7  ODS 11  ODS 12  ODS 13  ODS 14  ODS 15  ODS 8  ODS 9  ODS 10  ODS 16  ODS 17

Indicadors EQUIVALENTS
Indicadors EXTRES
SDG local indicators: working on proposals per SDG
SDG local indicators: reporting “pack”

Total indicadors de la bateria
Selecció indicadors "pack reporting"
SDG local indicators: data producing institutions
The “SDG local indicators” are...

... a series of indicators that are already available in several public DDBB and, as a whole, can be used to evaluate the accomplishment of the SDGs at the local scale.

• With a first large group of 336 varied and optional indicators intended for being used by different entities of the local scale to improve the knowledge of their environment and their development,

• And that includes a smaller group of 109 indicators that the local governments, or other local entities, could use to monitor the SDGs evolution on their territory and elaborate voluntary local reports to be sent to supralocal institutions, in order to rise local information to the state level.

Thus, all the indicators can be used both to make the initial diagnosis (in the process of locating the 2030 Agenda in the municipality and the alignment of the PAMs) as the follow-up in the progression of the SDGs.
Options for the future of SDG local indicators

For the PEMB, it is necessary to define a systematic approach to encourage the use of this indicators set.

a. Define incentives and reasons to persist and deepen in the implementation and monitoring of the SDGs in its territory: local appropriation of the 2030 Agenda.

b. Develop a web application to collect the data of all these indicators for all municipalities (originating from different data bases), and facilitate the centralized presentation of all this dispersed information.

c. Develop a telematic tool that facilitates the sending of data from local administrative registers.

d. Develop a prototyping and collaborative philosophy around local implementation of 2030 Agenda: creating a users community that can continue to improve the indicators set.

There seems to be general political will, but priorities need to be sorted out to see where to invest in relation to the 2030 Agenda.
Final considerations

• The “SDG local indicators” is just a set of collected pre-existent indicators, but its **added value** is:
  • Its **selection by several experts** in the different issues around SDGs and in data management,
  • And the fact that could become a **local standard** for the SDG follow-up.

• The whole process is a case of **coproduction** of a public policy:
  In that case, with organizations from each of the strands of the quadruple helix coproducing the evaluation mechanism of a localized global agenda.

• The project reflected the typical difficulty in the follow-up of global agendas, where there should be a balance between:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global comparability</th>
<th>VS.</th>
<th>Local needs on monitoring SDG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solution adopted</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pack reporting</strong> +</td>
<td>“Extra” and voluntary indicators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pack reporting “Extra” and voluntary indicators*